

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

TANYA NICOLE SAUERMILCH  
PEREZ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OASIS HOTEL,

Defendant.

**8:20CV429**

**MEMORANDUM  
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff filed her Complaint on October 16, 2020. (Filing 1.) She has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (Filing 5.) The court now conducts an initial review of Plaintiff's Complaint to determine whether summary dismissal is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

**I. SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff seeks to recover \$4 million in damages for "oppression of disabled Americans" and unspecified "civil rights violations." (Filing 1 at 4.) Plaintiff does not allege any facts to support these claims.

**II. STANDARDS ON INITIAL REVIEW**

The court is required to review in forma pauperis complaints to determine whether summary dismissal is appropriate. The court must dismiss a complaint or any portion of it that states a frivolous or malicious claim, that fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

Pro se plaintiffs must set forth enough factual allegations to "nudge[ ] their claims across the line from conceivable to plausible," or "their complaint must be dismissed." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 569-70 (2007); *see also*

*Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (“A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.”).

“The essential function of a complaint under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is to give the opposing party ‘fair notice of the nature and basis or grounds for a claim, and a general indication of the type of litigation involved.’” *Topchian v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.*, 760 F.3d 843, 848 (8th Cir. 2014) (quoting *Hopkins v. Saunders*, 199 F.3d 968, 973 (8th Cir. 1999)). However, “[a] pro se complaint must be liberally construed, and pro se litigants are held to a lesser pleading standard than other parties.” *Topchian*, 760 F.3d at 849 (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

### III. DISCUSSION

Liberally construing Plaintiff’s Complaint, this is a civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under this statute, a plaintiff must allege a violation of rights protected by the United States Constitution or created by federal statute, and also must show that the alleged deprivation was caused by conduct of a person acting under color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

Plaintiff’s Complaint contains no factual allegations from which the court may reasonably infer that her rights under the United States Constitution or federal statutes were violated. Because Plaintiff does not describe how, when, and where she was injured, and by whom, no plausible claim for relief is stated.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff’s Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. However, the court will allow an amended complaint to be filed within 30 days, in which event the court will conduct another initial review. If an amended complaint is not filed within 30 days, this action will be dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. On the court's own motion, Plaintiff will be given 30 days in which to file an amended complaint that states a claim upon which relief may be granted. **Failure to file an amended complaint within 30 days will result in the court dismissing this case without further notice to Plaintiff.**

2. The clerk of the court is directed to set the following pro se case management deadline: "November 23, 2020: check for amended complaint."

Dated this 22nd day of October, 2020.

BY THE COURT:



Richard G. Kopf  
Senior United States District Judge